		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/534,979	KATO ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mary K. Zeman	1631
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>071</u>	<u>!</u>
(1) <u>Mary K. Zeman</u> .		(3)	
(2) Mark Thronson, (Appl Rep).		(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2007		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: all pending			
Prior art documents discussed: art of record			•
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amendments which would place the application in condition for allowance. These amendments in combination with the amendments filed with the RCE would overcome the pending art and 101 rejections of record. Applicant agreed to the proposed amendments..

MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINE